Contraception xxx (xxxx) xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Contraception

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/contraception



Commentary

Society of Family Planning committee consensus on self-administration of subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA-SC)*

Julia E. Kohn^{a,*}, Elise D. Berlan^{b,c}, Jennifer H. Tang^d, Anitra Beasley^{e,f}

- ^a New York University, Robert F. Wagner Graduate School of Public Service, New York, NY, United States
- ^b Division of Adolescent Medicine, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, United States
- ^c Department of Pediatrics, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, OH, United States
- ^d Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, United States
- ^e Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, United States
- ^f Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast, Houston, TX, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 March 2022 Received in revised form 22 March 2022 Accepted 23 March 2022 Available online xxx

Keywords:
Contraception
Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA)
Injectable
Self-administration
Self-injection
Subcutaneous DMPA

ABSTRACT

Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) is a highly-effective, injectable contraceptive method that requires injections every 12 to 15 weeks. The need for return visits to a healthcare provider may present barriers to access, use, and continuation of DMPA. Studies demonstrate that self-administration of subcutaneous DMPA (DMPA-SC) outside clinical settings is safe, effective, feasible, acceptable, and can improve continuation. Based on existing evidence and potential to improve contraceptive access and autonomy, the Society of Family Planning recommends that DMPA-SC self-administration be made widely available as an additional option for patients. Provider-administered DMPA must also remain available to meet patients' individual needs and preferences.

© 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Background

Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) is a highly-effective, progestin-only injectable contraceptive used by approximately two percent of females ages 15 to 49 in the U.S. and is used widely internationally [1]. It is also used for non-contraceptive indications, including menstrual suppression, treatment of dysmenorrhea and endometriosis, and management of heavy menstrual bleeding. Available in both intramuscular (IM) and subcutaneous (SC) formulations, DMPA requires injections approximately every 13 weeks and may be given up to 15 weeks without requiring additional contraceptive protection [1]. The intramuscular and subcutaneous formulations are dosed differently at 150 mg and 104 mg,

E-mail address: juliakohn@gmail.com (J.E. Kohn).

respectively, but are therapeutically equivalent with similar safety profiles [2].

The need to return to a healthcare provider every 12 to 15 weeks can be a barrier to access and may hinder use and continuation of this highly-effective method. The need for repeat visits and associated costs may be especially burdensome for people in rural areas and those who are uninsured, lack reliable transportation, or have difficulty getting to appointments, such as adolescents and those who must take time off from work or school. The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the need to maintain timely and flexible access to contraception; DMPA self-administration provides an additional option to maintain or improve access to this method [3].

Self-injection of medications is common for many other indications, including diabetes and infertility. Sayana[®] Press (DMPA 104 mg) was designed for self-administration and is labeled as such in other countries, but is not approved for use in the U.S. Currently, only one DMPA-SC product is available in the U.S. (Depo-subQ provera 104[®]), which was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2004. This DMPA-SC product is a single-use, prefilled syringe and is labeled for administration by a healthcare professional, such that self-administration is currently "off-label."

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2022.03.023 0010-7824/© 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

^{*} Conflict of interest: Author Elise Berlan reports receiving research funding from Organon and Merck; is a Nexplanon Clinical Trainer for Organon and Merck; and is a Consultant to Organon and Merck. The other authors and Society Board members report no potential conflicts of interest. The Society of Family Planning receives no direct support from pharmaceutical companies or other industries for the development of clinical guidance.

^{*} Corresponding author.

J.E. Kohn et al. Contraception xxx (xxxx) xxx

Nevertheless, studies show that self-administration of this product is feasible, acceptable, safe, effective, and can improve continuation [4]. Though we use the term "self-administration" for brevity, this term may also include injection by someone else, such as a family member, outside of a clinical setting.

2. Clinical questions

2.1. Is self-administration of DMPA feasible and acceptable to patients?

Numerous studies show that patients can successfully initiate and continue self-administration of DMPA-SC on schedule after brief in-person, video-based, or telehealth education [1]. One randomized controlled trial confirmed similar therapeutic MPA levels in both provider- and self-administration study groups, indicating that patients outside of the clinical setting were able to appropriately administer DMPA-SC and do so within the indicated intervals [5]. Patients who self-administered were also able to restart effectively without additional instruction if they delayed a dose beyond the grace period or temporarily discontinued use. Studies also show high patient-reported acceptability and satisfaction among those who self-administered DMPA-SC; many wished to continue self-administration and would recommend it to a friend [1].

2.2. Is self-administration of DMPA safe and effective?

A 2019 systematic review and meta-analysis found no significant differences in pregnancies, side effects, or adverse events between self-administered DMPA-SC and provider-administered DMPA-SC or DMPA-IM study groups in randomized controlled trials [4]. Two controlled cohort studies reported increased injection site reactions such as pain, swelling, redness, indentation, and dimpling with self-administered DMPA-SC. It is possible that this finding is due to the type of injection itself (subcutaneous) rather than the self-administration: both studies compared self-administration of DMPA-SC with provider administration of DMPA-IM. A 2016 systematic review of the safety of DMPA-SC reported that users may experience injection site reactions more frequently, but these generally resolve without further intervention [2].

2.3. Does self-administration of DMPA improve method continuation?

A 2019 systematic review and meta-analysis concluded that self-administration of DMPA-SC can improve continuation rates compared to provider administration without increasing pregnancies or serious adverse events [4]. Among three randomized controlled trials, two conducted in the U.S. (New York; Texas and New Jersey) and one in Malawi, self-administration was associated with greater continuation at 12 months compared with provider administration [5–7]. Meta-analysis of three observational studies [8-10] found similar results. The systematic review concluded that DMPA self-administration can equal or improve contraceptive continuation rates compared with provider administration without notable increases in pregnancy or safety concerns [4].

2.4. What is the existing clinical guidance?

Based on existing evidence, both the U.S. Selected Practice Recommendations for Contraceptive Use (U.S. SPR) and the World Health Organization updated guidance to include DMPA-SC selfadministration as a safe and effective contraceptive option [1,11]. Both recommend that self-administered DMPA-SC should be made available as an additional approach to deliver injectable contraception. The U.S. SPR further specifies that this option be offered in a noncoercive manner through shared decision-making, with a focus on patient preferences and equitable access to the full range of contraceptive methods, including provider-administered DMPA [1].

2.5. Who is eligible for self-administration?

DMPA-SC self-administration is an option for anyone eligible to use provider-administered DMPA, including adolescents; the U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria (MEC) should be used to assess medical eligibility for DMPA-SC use [1]. Providers should use clinical judgment to determine whether self-administration is appropriate for any specific patient while centering their individual preferences and circumstances.

2.6. What patient education/training and materials/supplies are

Several organizations have developed resources for implementing DMPA-SC self-administration [12]. Important elements to include in patient education and training-whether in-person or via telehealth—as well as materials and supplies, are outlined in the box below.

Patient education/training and materials for implementing **DMPA-SC self-administration**

| Divil A-SC Sch-administration | |
|--|---|
| Implementation I Question | mplementation Suggestion |
| training should I provide to patients choosing DMPA-SC self-administration? | Patient education and training, whether in-person or via telehealth, should include instruction on: • proper medication preparation, injection, and storage; • re-injection windows and guidance for missed doses; • safe sharps disposal; • access to follow-up care, including options for switching to provider-administered DMPA or other contraceptive methods if desired; and • administrative issues such as costs and billing (and pharmacy information, if applicable). Providers may also use videos (new or existing) for patient education at initiation and/or subsequent injections (i.e., for patients to watch later). |
| ' | Aside from the medication, materials and supplies for patients to take home should include, at minimum: • step-by-step instructions for self-injection with pictures (in English, Spanish, or other common languages as needed); • calendar and/or reminder card highlighting reminder to dates (windows or use of birth control to the contr |

- re-injection dates/windows or use of birth control reminder apps;
- · instructions on safe sharps disposal; and
- · instructions for follow-up, including contact information for questions or concerns.

Providers may also offer patients additional supplies like small sharps disposal containers, surgical gloves, alcohol pads, cotton pads, or band-aids.

Additionally, research studies provided up to three medication doses for patients to take home. Some also gave small sharps disposal containers, surgical gloves, alcohol pads, cotton pads, or band-aids. No studies to date have compared educational methods or materials for DMPA-SC self-administration.

2.7. What patient follow-up is needed?

Guidance for patient follow-up is the same for provideradministered DMPA. Providers might also consider implementJID: CON [m5G;May 25, 2022;16:10]

ing reminder systems for all DMPA patients (self- and provider-administered), whether through portals, text messages, apps, or other methods [13]. Similarly, all patients should have information on who to contact for questions or concerns, or if they wish to return to the clinic.

3. Recommendations

J.E. Kohn et al.

Based on current evidence and potential to improve contraceptive access, continuation, and reproductive autonomy, we recommend the following:

- Self-administered DMPA-SC should be made widely available as an additional approach to deliver injectable contraception. This includes "self" administration as well as administration by other capable individuals, such as trained family or community members.
- Healthcare providers should follow the existing MEC for provider-administered DMPA-SC when offering selfadministration.
- 3. Provider-administered DMPA should also remain widely available to best meet individual patients' needs and preferences.

4. Future considerations and research

There is sufficient evidence to support broad implementation of DMPA-SC self-administration. What is most needed is implementation research on this practice so that we may learn about facilitators and barriers to uptake of DMPA-SC self-administration outside of research settings. Future studies might explore optimal methods of provider and/or patient education, training, and support. While numerous resources have been developed in the context of research studies—some outside of research contexts—no published studies have compared different educational methods. Implementation and/or communication research may further help to refine available tools, perhaps drawing on the longstanding history of self-administration of other injectable medications for other indications. Any such research should be informed by patients themselves.

Perhaps the greatest unknown is how the U.S. healthcare payer system will accommodate this service delivery innovation. This may present a barrier for providers to offer self-administration, as they may be uncertain how to charge and/or bill and how such services will be covered by insurance plans. Yet this must not be a roadblock to offering this promising, evidence-based, patient-centered contraceptive option. It is through real-world practice and research that we will best learn how to navigate this uncertain terrain. Further, as DMPA-SC self-administration is currently "off-label" in the U.S. despite evidence of its safety and effectiveness, product labeling may affect providers' willingness to prescribe off-label and insurers' willingness to cover the medication. Existing evidence warrants consideration of a label change.

Finally, given the proliferation of telehealth, DMPA-SC self-administration is a prime candidate for remote provision. Given the ability to educate patients remotely via videoconference, and to reinforce education with electronic reminders and/or video refreshers, there is great potential for both clinical practice and research in this area.

Contraception xxx (xxxx) xxx

Authorship

This Committee Consensus was prepared by Julia Kohn, Elise Berlan, Jennifer Tang, and Anitra Beasley and was reviewed and approved by the Clinical Affairs Committee on behalf of the Board of Directors of the Society of Family Planning.

References

- [1] Curtis KM, Nguyen A, Reeves JA, Clark EA, Folger SG, Whiteman MK. Update to U.S. selected practice recommendations for contraceptive use: self-administration of subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:739–43.
- [2] Dragoman MV, Gaffield ME. The safety of subcutaneously administered depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (104mg/0.65mL): a systematic review. Contraception 2016;94:202–15.
- [3] Benson LS, Madden T, Tarleton J, Micks EA. Society of Family Planning interim clinical recommendations: contraceptive provision when healthcare access is restricted due to pandemic response - 2021 update. Society of Family Planning 2021. https://doi.org/10.46621/UYGR2287 (accessed December 12, 2021).
- [4] Kennedy CE, Yeh PT, Gaffield ML, Brady M, Narasimhan M. Self-administration of injectable contraception: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Glob Health 2019;4(2):e001350.
- [5] Beasley A, O'Connell White K, Cremers S, Westhoff C. Randomized clinical trial of self versus clinical administration of subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate. Contraception 2014;89:352–6.
- [6] Kohn JE, Simons HR, Della Badia L, Draper E, Morfesis J, Talmont E, et al. Increased 1-year continuation of DMPA among women randomized to self-administration: results from a randomized controlled trial at planned parenthood. Contraception 2018;97:198–204.
- [7] Burke HM, Chen M, Buluzi M, Fuchs R, Wevill S, Venkatasubramanian L, et al. Effect of self-administration versus provider-administered injection of subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate on continuation rates in Malawi: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Glob Health 2018;6:e568–78.
- [8] Cameron ST, Glasier A, Johnstone A. Pilot study of home self-administration of subcutaneous depo-medroxyprogesterone acetate for contraception. Contraception 2012;85:458–64.
- [9] Cover J, Namagembe A, Tumusiime J, Nsangi D, Lim J, Nakiganda-Busiku D. Continuation of injectable contraception when self-injected vs. administered by a facility-based health worker: a nonrandomized, prospective cohort study in Uganda. Contraception 2018;98:383–8.
- [10] Cover J, Ba M, Drake JK, NDiaye MD. Continuation of self-injected versus provider-administered contraception in Senegal: a nonrandomized, prospective cohort study. Contraception 2019;99:137–41.
- [11] World Health Organization WHO Recommendations on Self-care Interventions: Self-administration of Injectable Contraception. Geneva, Switzerland; 2019. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-SRH-20.9 (accessed December 12, 2021).
- [12] National Clinical Training Center for Family Planning A Toolkit for User Administration of Subcutaneous Depot Medroxyprogesterone Acetate. Kansas City, MO; 2021. https://ctcfp.org/RiseToolkit1/content/assets/o35EjidVQvCccCfM_12qdwah5RpxBxx-8-User_Administration_DMPA-SC_Toolkit.pdf (accessed March 21, 2022).
- [13] Zapata LB, Pazol K, Rollison JM, Loyola Briceno AC. Family planning reminder systems: an updated systematic review. Am J Prev Med 2018;55:716–24.